Child labour in Uttar Pradesh and Bihar

1813. SHRI KAMAL AKHTAR: Will the Minister of LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government is aware that child labour is still existing in various States, specially in Uttar Pradesh and Bihar and labour laws are being openly flouted;

(b) if so, the States from where child labourers have been rescued during the last one year;

(c) the number of labourers rescued from UP since last two years and till date, district-wise; and

(d) the action taken against the persons found responsible for violation of labour laws during the last one year alongwith the details thereof, State-wise?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI OSCAR FERNANDES): (a) The Central Government is the appropriate Government in respect of establishments under the control of the Central Government or a railway administration or a major port or a mine or an oil field. The State Government is the appropriate Government in respect of all other establishments. In order to tackle the problem of child labour, the Government is implementing the National Child Labour Project Scheme in 250 districts under which children withdrawn from work are given bridge education) nutrition, stipend, *etc.*

(b) As per the information received, eleven State Governments/UT administrations, viz, Andhra Pradesh, Assam, Gujarat, Haryana, Karnataka, Punjab, Rajasthan, Tamil Nadu, Uttar Pradesh, Delhi and Maharashtra have rescued child labourers during 2007-08.

(c) The information is in statement in the Statement-I. (*See* below)

(d) Prosecutions have been launched in the States as per the provisions of the Child Labour (Prohibition and Regulation) Act, 1986. The State-wise figures for the last three years are in the Statement at Statement-II.

Statement-I

District-wise number of labourers rescued from Uttar Pradesh

Sl. No.	Name of the District	Child Labour rescued during		
		2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Meerut	213	184	138
2.	Bagpat	13	57	15
3.	Shaharanpur	31	230	16

1	2	3	4	5
4.	Muzaffarnagar	60	141	48
5.	Muradabad	76	340	34
6.	J.P. Nagar	23	99	3
7.	Rampur	25	136	144
8.	Bijnor	94	162	46
9.	Bareily	25	238	141
10.	Badaun	6	65	39
11.	Pilibhit	11	69	28
12.	Sajahapur	10	72	13
13.	Mirzapur	20	97	30
14.	Sonebhadra	15	35	8
15.	Badhoi	20	76	7
16.	Allahabad	148	242	123
17.	Kaushambi	11	22	11
18.	Pratapgarh	6	30	21
19.	Fatehpur	26	62	46
20.	Jhasi	49	72	59
21.	Urai Jaulan	21	52	1
22.	Lalitpur	22	46	13
23.	Banda	20	30	0
24.	Mahoba	9	22	0
25.	Hamirpur	51	61	20
26.	Chitrakut	34	6	0
27.	Agara	351	424	195
28.	Mathura	92	120	46
29.	Firozabad	40	210	78
30.	Mainpuri	3	0	12
31.	Aligarh	109	133	10
32.	Hathrus	26	54	0
33.	Etah	102	74	0

1	2	3	4	5
34.	Gorakhpur	116	184	30
35.	Basti	14	108	51
36.	Sant Kabir Nagar	9	39	3
37.	Kushi Nagar	16	105	10
38.	Maharajganj	19	25	7
39.	Deoria	15	93	24
40.	Sidarth Nagar	15	34	1
41.	Faizabad	28	52	14
42.	Barabanki	16	36	25
43.	Sultanpur	23	91	8
44.	Gonda	29	42	4
45.	Sharavasti	6	14	5
46.	Baharainch	23	69	79
47.	Ambedakar Nagar	11	16	2
48.	Balrampur	5	48	0
49.	Azamgarh	102	120	23
50.	Mau	26	48	24
51.	Balia	6	13	2
52.	Gaziabad	190	221	66
53.	Bulandshahar	0	89	16
54.	Gautambudh Nagar	527	324	1
55.	Varanasi	29	157	88
56.	Jaunpur	40	81	32
57.	Ghazipur	14	71	23
58.	Chandauli	16	43	33
59.	Lucknow	260	581	197
60.	Hardoi	77	176	50
61.	Lakhimpur Khiri	89	150	73
62.	Raibareily	58	165	37
63.	Sitapur	120	182	65

1	2	3	4	5
64.	Unnao	59	181	63
65.	Kanpur Nagar	208	450	25
66.	Kannouj	5	118	0
67.	Kanpur Dehat	8	74	0
68.	Etawaha	2	10	0
69.	Oria	3	0	0
70.	Farukhabad	17	38	68
	Total	3963	7911	2494

Statement-II

State-wise figures of prosecutions launched during the last three years

Sl. No.	Name of State/UT	Prosecutions launched			Convictions Obtained		
		2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
1.	Andaman and Nicobar Islands U.T.	0	0				
2.	Andhra Pradesh	6124	9228		620		
3.	Arunachal Pradesh	11					
4.	Assam	1	0				
5.	Bihar	147	284				
6.	Chandigarh U.T.	0	0				
7.	Chhattisgarh	10	19				
8.	Dadra and Nagar Haveli U.T.	0	0				
9.	Daman and Diu U.T.	0	0				
10.	Delhi U.T.	253	187	274	10	29	08
11.	Goa	0					
12.	Gujarat	23	270		02	02	270
13.	Haryana	0	0		02		
14.	Himachal Pradesh	0	0				

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
15.	Jammu and Kashmir	17	60		03	01	
16.	Jharkhand	27	4				
17.	Karnataka	1078	3235		139	170	
18.	Kerala	1	1				
19.	Lakshadweep U.T.	0	0				
20.	Madhya Pradesh	37	150		05	05	
21.	Maharashtra	84	54	23	12	07	
22.	Manipur	0					
23.	Meghalaya	0	0				
24.	Mizoram	0	0				
25.	Nagaland	0	0				
26.	Orissa	1	24		01		
27.	Pondicherry U.T.	0	0				
28.	Punjab	20	129		17	23	
29.	Rajasthan	13	22		06	26	
30.	Sikkim	0	0				
31.	Tamil Nadu	415	603		80	434	
32.	Tripura	0	0				
33.	Uttar Pradesh	19	117		40	19	
34.	Uttarakhand	0	0				
35.	Wast Bengal	0	7				

Retrenchment of employees due to economic slowdown

1814. DR. M.A.M. RAMASWAMY: Will the Minister of LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether due to the economic slowdown, a large number of employees from different sectors are feared to be retrenched;

(b) if so, the details thereof, sector-wise;

(c) whether Government has drawn up any road-map to avoid such a scenario;

(d) if so, the details thereof;

(e) if not, the reasons therefor;